

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/643,326	08	8/20/2003	James F. Anderson	P 751-1	3996
7:	590	09/17/2004		EXAMINER	
DONALD R. BAHR 2608 MERIDA LN				GELLNER, JEFFREY L	
TAMPA, FL				· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3643	
				DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>~ ~ `</u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Author Occurrence	10/643,326	ANDERSON, JAMES F.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey L. Gellner	3643					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address -					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mayon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communical ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 August 2003.						
	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	thdrawn from consideration.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction ar Application Papers	la/or election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o			21(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attac	ned Office Action or form PTO-152	2.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/643,326

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-21, drawn to a method of planting, classified in class 47, subclass 58.1R.

II. Claims 22-32, drawn to a plant holder, classified in class 47, subclass 65.7.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions

are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another

materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice

another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be

used as an ash tray in the process of smoking.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Upon election of either Inventions I or II, Applicant is further required under 35 USC 121

to elect among the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A:

Figs. 1, 2, & 4-6

(plant holder that sinks)

Species B:

Fig. 3

(plant holder that floats)

Art Unit: 3643

Species C:

Figs. 7 & 8

(pant holder with biodegradable membrane)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed invention - species combination (for example, combination II - A \rightarrow plant holder that sinks) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3643

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose phone number is 703.305.0053. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 4:00 pm. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at 703.308.2574. The official fax telephone number for the Technology Center where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

Jeffrey L. Gellner

1/2/1/

Primary Examiner